

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Quarterly Report to The Indiana State Budget Committee and The Indiana Legislative Council

> Submitted by: James W. Payne, Director For the quarter ended June 30, 2006



Pursuant to Section 95, IC 31-33-1.5 Section 5, once every three months, the Department of Child Services is required to submit a report to the budget committee and the legislative council that provides data and statistical information regarding caseloads of child protection workers. This report details:

- 1. The department's progress in recruiting, training and retaining caseworkers
- 2. The methodology used to compute caseloads for each child protection worker
- 3. The statewide average caseloads for child protection caseworkers and whether they exceed the standards established by the department
- 4. A written plan that indicates steps that are being taken to reduce caseloads if the report indicates that average caseloads exceed caseload standards
- 5. Recommendations for best management practices and resources required to achieve effective and efficient delivery of child protection services

1. Recruitment, Training and Retention of Family Case Managers

In order to reach the goal of adding 175 new family case managers (FCMs) and 25 new supervisors in SFY 2006, DCS looked at personnel and training needs along with capacity. Quickly, it was determined that DCS needed a hiring manager dedicated to this undertaking, in addition to personnel resources provided by FSSA. To that end, DCS identified funds internally and hired a Human Resources Manager to fill that role during the spring of 2005. Since that time, the position created in DCS was turned over to State Personnel to support the process of centralization of the HR function. In addition, three other staff positions were added to State Personnel to support DCS. With these resources the recruitment and hiring process is running much more smoothly than one year ago.

A timeline was established to outline the steps beginning with identifying counties in need of staff and ending with the FCM's first day of work. The process takes a minimum of eight weeks and requires interviewing a minimum of seven applicants for each position available. Recruiting and interviewing is done locally; the process is managed by Central Office and is detailed in Exhibit 1. Whenever possible, more lead time is added to allow for more flexibility.

DCS determines the optimum hiring schedule on a rolling basis—at least eight weeks before the start date. The first class began July 5, 2005 and a new class was added nearly every two weeks for a total of 21 classes during SFY 2006. In each new bi-weekly class, slots were created for both new hires and vacancy fills, depending on need. Groups ranged in size from 15 to 19. The location of the training cohort was regionally based and corresponded with where the trainees would eventually be stationed.



Protecting our children, families and future

The training course itself has been revised based upon the feedback of graduates. For the first eleven months, training took place over a twelve week period. Four of the twelve weeks took place in Indianapolis and the other eight were set in one of the regional training centers. In May, the course was reduced to nine weeks of work in a classroom with transfer of learning days occurring in the county offices. Following that are three weeks of on-the-job training. Further enhancements to both the transfer of learning activities and on-the-job training are under development and will be outlined in a future report.

During SFY 2006, the Department of Child Services (DCS) increased the number of FCM positions by 175, from 826 to 1,001. The number of filled FCM positions increased from 667 as of July 1, 2005 to 971 on June 30, 2006, representing an increase of 304 people. The number of FCM Supervisors increased as well, by 25 people. The chart below summarizes the increases.

	<u>As of July 1, 2005</u>	As of June 30, 2006	Gain/ (Loss)
# of FCM positions	826	1,001	175
# of filled FCM positions	667	971	304
# of FCM vacancies	159	30	(129)

In other words, 304 more FCMs and 25 supervisors are in the field or in training, serving Indiana children because the number of available positions increased by 175 and the number of vacancies decreased by 129.

DCS is pleased to report that the goal of 175 additional case managers and 25 new supervisors was met by June 30, 2006.

During SFY 2006, 131 FCMs left state employment vis-à-vis termination, resignation or retirement. Of those, 27 were employed for one year or less. The chart below indicates the time frame in which these 27 left state employment.

<u>Time frame</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
First 3 months	11	41%
4-6 months	10	37%
7-9 months	3	11%
10-12 months	3	11%



Protecting our children, families and future

The majority of those who left within the first six month resigned. Those in the second were more frequently terminated. Currently, DCS does not conduct exit interviews. However, this is a long term goal.

2. Caseload data

On a monthly basis, DCS gathers information to determine which counties are in the greatest need of staff. The information is gathered from Indiana's automated child welfare reporting system (ICWIS) and from local county directors. ICWIS provides information on the number of new investigations opened each month and the number of children served by the county. County directors confirm staffing levels, including total staff, staff in training, and staff unavailable for any reason. This information is loaded into a spreadsheet. DCS is converting to using PeopleSoft numbers rather than self-reported numbers as inconsistencies have been found in the self-reported numbers. The 12/17 standard represents that of the Child Welfare League of America and is the requirement established by legislation that DCS must meet by July 1, 2008, which is 12 new investigations per month or 17 on-going children.

Exhibit 2 shows the number needed to reach 12 investigations OR 17 on-going children. Please note that these numbers are cyclical and vary from month to month.

The issue of caseload data must include the current national discussion regarding caseload definitions. As currently set out in statute, DCS must comply with standards that include 12 new investigations per month or 17 ongoing children being supervised by a case manager at any one time. Those definitions are clear in large to medium counties where the caseloads allow those divisions to be clearly defined. In smaller counties, however, the issue of mixed caseloads is more difficult to determine, in large part because ongoing caseloads of 17 are fairly static while new investigation caseloads are fluid, changing day to day, week to week. We will continue to work with national leaders and organizations as these discussions bring more mathematical certainty to those designations.

Additionally, there is tremendous national dialogue on the issue of defining caseload versus workload. The distinction has to do with the number of cases a casework manager will have versus the work necessary to adequately and appropriately provide that work — leading to safety, permanency, and well-being for children and families. This becomes particularly more difficult as we add to or significantly change the workload requirements for case managers either by statute or by policy. One example of this is the recent



Protecting our children, families and future

requirement for more extensive criminal background checks, specifically referring to the time and complexity involved for a case manager to obtain those background checks.

Finally, the issue of caseload reduction will be impacted greatly as DCS implements its philosophy of practice in safety for children remaining at home, implementing a practice of engaging families through team participation, and more accurate assessment of initial care and ongoing treatment. Over time, it is anticipated that these matters will be effective in reducing the degree and intensity of involvement and various stages through the process.

3. Percentage of caseloads in compliance with standards.

Analysis of Exhibit 2 indicates that, as of June 30, 2006, 44.6%, or 41 counties meet the 12/17 standard.

It should be noted that these are averages. It is possible that any individual FCM will be carrying a caseload in excess of benchmark. However, as additional FCMs are hired and trained, and existing FCMs are retained on the job, averages should better reflect actuals. Moreover, as additional FCMs are hired, based on allowances set in the biennium budget, caseloads should decline and approach acceptable levels.

4. Plans to reduce caseloads

DCS will continue with the plan to hire 175 case managers per year for FY 2007 as funded by the General Assembly in addition to 25 supervisors. Monthly, the caseload averages will be calculated and analyzed. For the next biennium, the number of additional case managers needed to bring DCS into compliance with caseloads as defined by state law will be calculated and submitted in the budget request. Early projections indicate that up to 300 additional FCMs and 60 additional supervisors will be needed to meet the caseload mandates under state law.

5. Effective and Efficient Delivery of Child Protection Services

Beginning December 2005, DCS embarked upon a comprehensive practice reform initiative. It is a grass roots initiative that will teach Family Case Managers how to engage and team with families in ways the department has never done. We believe this approach will have significant long-term impact on positive outcomes for children and families, leading to shorter lengths of stay, faster reunification or permanence and will ultimately reduce case loads.



Protecting our children, families and future

Although many positive steps occurred to facilitate the effective and professional delivery of child protection services, many challenges remain. They include:

- Continuation of hiring new FCMs to reach legislated caseloads
- Sufficient supervision to ensure proper support of FCMs
- Sufficient support staff for supervisors and FCMs in local offices
- Sufficient legal staff to support legal needs of local offices
- Sufficient administrative staff to support county operations
- Sufficient central office staff to support financial, policy, training, programs, and quality assurance

As mentioned previously, DCS will continue to hire FCMs and supervisors throughout SFY 2007 as provided for in the budget. All required legal staff should be in place by the end of SFY 2007. Local contract attorney positions have been and continue to be converted into state staff attorneys. Under this arrangement, legal counsel for the department is more comprehensive and congruent as FCMs and lawyers work together in the same office to prepare cases.

Much research has gone into the analysis of Central Office functions and the needs for adequately supporting the work in the field. Organizational structures and ratios in other states were reviewed by the Annie E. Casey Foundation's Strategic Consulting Group. Based on their input and DCS executives' assessments, a proposal for additional staff was submitted to and approved by the State Budget Agency for SFY 2007. An analysis of the need for additional staff in the next biennium showed they are critical in supporting the work of the 1,001 FCMs who are direct service providers.



Protecting our children, families and future

diana Department of Child Service

Cohort Hiring Status Report SFY 06

Cohort #	Identify County	Training Location chosen	Post	Recruit	Applications evaluated and routed	Interview Complete	Position Offered	Position Accepted	Copy of offer/ information letter/release for background check to Central Office	Background Check Begun	Packet Sent	Hotel Confirmation	Start Date	Graduation Date
Responsibi lity	Field Operations	Field Operations	HR, SPD	HR, Regional Managers, County Directors	HR, SPD	Regional Managers, County Directors	Regional Managers, County Directors	Applicant	Regional Managers, County Directors	HR, SPD	Regional Managers, County Directors	Special Projects	Employee	Employee
Time Frame	Day One	Day One	Day One	Day 14	Day 21	Day 28	Day 35	Day 42	Day 44	Day 45	Day 46	Day 49	Day 56	Day 140
1	11-May-05	Marion County	11-May	24-May	31-May	7-Jun	14-Jun	21-Jun	23-Jun	24-Jun	25-Jun	28-Jun	5-Jul	27-Sep
2	24-May-05	Marion County	24-May	6-Jun	13-Jun	20-Jun	27-Jun	4-Jul	6-Jul	7-Jul	8-Jul	11-Jul	18-Jul	10-Oct
3	7-Jun-05	Marion County	7-Jun	20-Jun	27-Jun	4-Jul	11-Jul	18-Jul	20-Jul	21-Jul	22-Jul	25-Jul	1-Aug	24-Oct
4	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID
5		Marion County	13-Jul	26-Jul	2-Aug	9-Aug	16-Aug	23-Aug	25-Aug	26-Aug	27-Aug	30-Aug	6-Sep	29-Nov
6		Fort Wayne	23-Jul	5-Aug	12-Aug	19-Aug	26-Aug	2-Sep	4-Sep	5-Sep	6-Sep	9-Sep	16-Sep	
7	9-Aug-05	Scottsburg	9-Aug	22-Aug	29-Aug	5-Sep	12-Sep	19-Sep	21-Sep	22-Sep	23-Sep	26-Sep	3-Oct	26-Dec
8	23-Aug-05		23-Aug	5-Sep	12-Sep	19-Sep	26-Sep	3-Oct	5-Oct	6-Oct	7-Oct	10-Oct	17-Oct	9-Jan
9		Indianapolis	20-Sep	3-Oct	10-Oct	17-Oct	24-Oct	31-Oct	2-Nov	3-Nov	4-Nov	7-Nov	14-Nov	6-Feb
10		Michigan City	4-Oct	17-Oct	24-Oct	31-Oct	7-Nov	14-Nov	16-Nov	17-Nov	18-Nov	21-Nov	28-Nov	20-Feb
11		Indianapolis	18-Oct	31-Oct	7-Nov	14-Nov	21-Nov	28-Nov	30-Nov	1-Dec	2-Dec	5-Dec	12-Dec	6-Mar
12	15-Nov-05	3	15-Nov	28-Nov	5-Dec	12-Dec	19-Dec	26-Dec	28-Dec	29-Dec	30-Dec	2-Jan	9-Jan	
13		Indianapolis	29-Nov	12-Dec	19-Dec	26-Dec	2-Jan	9-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	13-Jan	16-Jan	23-Jan	17-Apr
14		Indianapolis	13-Dec	26-Dec	2-Jan	9-Jan		23-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	27-Jan	30-Jan	6-Feb	
15		Indianapolis	27-Dec	9-Jan	16-Jan	23-Jan	30-Jan	6-Feb	8-Feb	9-Feb	10-Feb	13-Feb	20-Feb	15-May
16		Michigan City	10-Jan	23-Jan	30-Jan	6-Feb	13-Feb	20-Feb	22-Feb	23-Feb	24-Feb	27-Feb	6-Mar	29-May
17	24-Jan-06		24-Jan	6-Feb	13-Feb	20-Feb	27-Feb	6-Mar	8-Mar	9-Mar	10-Mar	13-Mar	20-Mar	12-Jun
18		Marion County	7-Feb	20-Feb	27-Feb	6-Mar	13-Mar	20-Mar	22-Mar	23-Mar	24-Mar	27-Mar	3-Apr	26-Jun
19		Scottsburg	21-Jan	3-Feb	10-Feb	17-Feb	24-Feb	3-Mar	5-Mar	6-Mar	7-Mar	10-Mar	17-Mar	9-Jun
20 21	/-Mar-06	Fort Wayne	7-Mar	20-Mar	27-Mar VOID	3-Apr	10-Apr	17-Apr	19-Apr	20-Apr	21-Apr	24-Apr VOID	1-May	24-Jul VOID
22	11-Apr-06		11-Apr		1-Mav	8-Mav	15-May	VOID 22-May	24-Mav	25-Mav	26-May	29-Mav	5-Jun	
23		Marion County	25-Apr	24-Apr 8-May	15-May	22-May	29-May	22-May 5-Jun	7-Jun	25-May 8-Jun	26-May 9-Jun	29-IVIAY 12-Jun	5-Jun 19-Jun	28-Aug 11-Sep
23	25-Apr-00	Marion County	23-ADI	o-iviay	10-iviay	ZZ-iviay	Z7-IVIAY	3-Juii	/-Juii	o-Juli	7-Juli	12-Juli	17-JUII	11-sep

Exhibit 1 Timeline SFY 06.xls; SFY 06 Updated: 9/27/2006; 2:54 PM

Cohort Hiring Timeline SFY 07

	Cohort #	Identify County	Training Location chosen	Post	Recruit	Applications evaluated and routed	Interview Complete*	Position Offered*	Position Accepted	Distribute Info Packet / Send Signed Applicant Release form to HR	Background Check Begun	Offer Letter Sent	Hotel Confirmation Complete	Start Date	Graduation Date
Responsibility		Stephanie Beasley	Stephanie Beasley	Yonda Snyder, SPD	HR, Regional Managers, County Directors	Yonda Snyder, SPD	Regional Managers, County Directors	Regional Managers, County Directors	Applicant	Regional Managers, County Directors	Yonda Snyder, SPD	Yonda Snyder, HR	Peggy Farrar	Employee	Employee
Time Frame		Day One	Day One	Day One	Day 14	Day 21	Day 28	Day 35	Day 42	Day 44	Day 45	Day 46	Day 49	Day 56	Day 140
	24		Fort Wayne	16-May	29-May	5-Jun	12-Jun	19-Jun	26-Jun	28-Jun	29-Jun	30-Jun	3-Jul	10-Jul	2-Oct
	25		Michigan City	30-May	12-Jun	19-Jun	26-Jun	3-Jul	10-Jul		13-Jul	14-Jul	17-Jul	24-Jul	16-Oct
	26	13-Jun-06		13-Jun	26-Jun	3-Jul	10-Jul	17-Jul	24-Jul		27-Jul	28-Jul	31-Jul	7-Aug	30-Oct
	27		Scottsburg	27-Jun	10-Jul	17-Jul	24-Jul	31-Jul	7-Aug	9-Aug	10-Aug	11-Aug	14-Aug	21-Aug	13-Nov
	28		Michigan City	12-Jul	25-Jul	1-Aug	8-Aug	15-Aug	22-Aug	24-Aug	25-Aug	26-Aug	29-Aug	5-Sep	28-Nov
	29		Marion County	25-Jul	7-Aug	14-Aug	21-Aug	28-Aug	4-Sep		7-Sep	8-Sep	11-Sep	18-Sep	11-Dec
	30		Indianapolis	8-Aug	21-Aug	28-Aug	4-Sep	11-Sep	18-Sep	20-Sep	21-Sep	22-Sep	25-Sep	2-Oct	25-Dec
	31	22-Aug-06		22-Aug	4-Sep	11-Sep	18-Sep	25-Sep	2-Oct	4-Oct	5-Oct	6-Oct	9-0ct	16-Oct	8-Jan
	32		Fort Wayne	5-Sep	18-Sep	25-Sep	2-Oct	9-Oct	16-Oct	18-Oct	19-Oct	20-Oct	23-Oct	30-Oct	22-Jan
	33	19-Sep-06		19-Sep	2-Oct	9-Oct	16-Oct	23-Oct	30-Oct	1-Nov	2-Nov	3-Nov	6-Nov	13-Nov	5-Feb
	34		Vincennes	3-Oct	16-Oct	23-Oct	30-Oct	6-Nov	13-Nov	15-Nov	16-Nov	17-Nov	20-Nov	27-Nov	19-Feb
	35		Michigan City	17-Oct	30-Oct	6-Nov	13-Nov	20-Nov	27-Nov	29-Nov	30-Nov	1-Dec	4-Dec	11-Dec	5-Mar
	36		Marion County	14-Nov	27-Nov	4-Dec	11-Dec	18-Dec	25-Dec	27-Dec	28-Dec	29-Dec	1-Jan	8-Jan	2-Apr
	37		Michigan City	28-Nov	11-Dec	18-Dec	25-Dec	1-Jan	8-Jan	10-Jan	11-Jan	12-Jan	15-Jan	22-Jan	16-Apr
	38		Fort Wayne	12-Dec	25-Dec	1-Jan	8-Jan	15-Jan	22-Jan	24-Jan	25-Jan	26-Jan	29-Jan	5-Feb	30-Apr
	39		Marion County	26-Dec	8-Jan	15-Jan	22-Jan	29-Jan	5-Feb	7-Feb	8-Feb	9-Feb	12-Feb	19-Feb	14-May
	40	9-Jan-07		9-Jan	22-Jan	29-Jan	5-Feb	12-Feb	19-Feb	21-Feb	22-Feb	23-Feb	26-Feb	5-Mar	28-May
	41	23-Jan-07		23-Jan	5-Feb	12-Feb	19-Feb	26-Feb	5-Mar	7-Mar	8-Mar	9-Mar	12-Mar	19-Mar	11-Jun
	42	6-Feb-07		6-Feb	19-Feb	26-Feb	5-Mar	12-Mar	19-Mar	21-Mar	22-Mar	23-Mar	26-Mar	2-Apr	25-Jun
	43	20-Feb-07		20-Feb	5-Mar	12-Mar	19-Mar	26-Mar	2-Apr	4-Apr	5-Apr	6-Apr	9-Apr	16-Apr	9-Jul
	44	6-Mar-07		6-Mar	19-Mar	26-Mar	2-Apr	9-Apr	16-Apr	18-Apr	19-Apr	20-Apr	23-Apr	30-Apr	23-Jul
	45	20-Mar-07		20-Mar	2-Apr	9-Apr	16-Apr	23-Apr	30-Apr	2-May	3-May	4-May	7-May	14-May	6-Aug
	46	4-Apr-07		4-Apr	17-Apr	24-Apr	1-May	8-May	15-May	17-May	18-May	19-May	22-May	29-May	21-Aug
	47	17-Apr-07		17-Apr	30-Apr	7-May	14-May	21-May	28-May	30-May	31-May	1-Jun	4-Jun	11-Jun	3-Sep
	48	1-May-07		1-May	14-May	21-May	28-May	4-Jun	11-Jun	13-Jun	14-Jun	15-Jun	18-Jun	25-Jun	17-Sep

^{*} Local office is responsible for conducting employment and education verification and initial background check

Cohort Hiring Status Report SFY 08

	Cohort #	Identify County	Training Location chosen	Post	Recruit	Applications evaluated and routed	Interview Complete	Position Offered	Position Accepted	Copy of offer/ information letter/release for background check to Central Office	Background Check Begun	Packet Sent	Hotel Confirmation	Start Date	Graduation Date
Responsibility		Stephanie Beasley	Stephanie Beasley	Yonda Snyder, SPD	HR, Regional Managers, County Directors	Yonda Snyder, SPD	Regional Managers, County Directors	Regional Managers, County Directors	Applicant	Regional Managers, County Directors	Yonda Snyder, SPD	Regional Managers, County Directors	Peggy Farrar	Employee	Employee
Time Frame		Day One	Day One	Day One	Day 14	Day 21	Day 28	Day 35	Day 42	Day 44	Day 45	Day 46	Day 49	Day 56	Day 140
		######							#####	########	######	######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########		######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########	######	######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########	######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######			######		######	#####	#####	########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######			######		######		#####	########	######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########	######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########	#######	#######	######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########	#######	#######	######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######	#######		#####	#####	########	######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	########	######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######	#######		#####	#####	########	#######	#######	######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######	#######	######	#####	#####	#########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####		#######			#####	########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######					######	#####	#####	########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######							#####	########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######		#####	######		######	#####	#####	#########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		###### ######		#####	######	#######		#####	#####	#########	#######	#######	#######		24-Mar
		######			######					#########	#######		#######		24-Mar 24-Mar

Caseload Statistics*

Region	County	FCMs w/ caseload	FCMs in Training	Total	Additional FCMs needed to reach 12/17
4	Adams	3	0	3	0
4	Allen	43	8	51	36
14	Bartholomew	10	2	12	4
5	Benton	2	0	2	0
7	Blackford	2	0	2	0
9	Boone	2	3	5	1
13	Brown	2	0	2	0
5	Carroll	2	0	2	0
	Cass	5	0	5	0
	Clark	19	6	25	0
	Clay	3	0	3	0
	Clinton	6	0	6	1
	Crawford	5	0	5	0
	Daviess	4	0	4	0
	Dearborn	5	1	6	1
	Decatur	3	1	4	1
	DeKalb	7	1	8	2
	Delaware	23	0	23	9
	Dubois	3	2	5	0
	Elkhart	23	0	23	4
	Fayette	5	2	7	2
	Floyd	8	0	8	1
	Fountain Fountain	1	1	2	0
	Franklin	2	1	3	0
	Fulton	3	0	3	
					1
	Gibson	6	1	7	0
	Grant	10	1	11	4
	Greene	6	1	7	0
	Hamilton	6	0	6	1
	Hancock	4	0	4	1
	Harrison	8	0	8	0
	Hendricks	8	0	8	0
	Henry	6	1	7	1
	Howard	9	2	11	l
	Huntington	4	0	4	1
	Jackson	8	0	8	3
	Jasper	3	0	3	0
	Jay	1	0	1	1
	Jefferson	8	0	8	0
	Jennings	7	2	9	1
	Johnson	11	0	11	0
16	Knox	5	2	7	1
3	Kosciusko	5	0	5	1
	LaGrange	3	1	4	1
	Lake	99	7	106	40
	LaPorte	14	0	14	2
	Lawrence	7	0	7	0
	Madison	23	0	23	5
	Marion	203	4	207	52
	Marshall	5	0	5	3
17	Martin	2	0	2	0

Page 8

Caseload Statistics*

Region	County	ty FCMs w/ caseload FCMs in Training			Additional FCMs needed to reach 12/17
	Miami	4	1	5	2
	Monroe	13	1	14	4
	Montgomery	12	1	13	0
	Morgan	11	0	11	0
	Newton	2	0	2	0
	Noble	5	1	6	1
	Ohio	1	0	1	0
	Orange	3	0	3	0
	Owen	2	0	2	1
	Parke	2	0	2	0
	Perry	4	0	4	1
	Pike	3	0	3	0
	Porter	14	0	14	10
16	Posey	2	0	2	0
	Pulaski	2	0	2	1
9	Putnam	7	0	7	1
7	Randolph	3	1	4	0
15	Ripley	5	2	7	0
12	Rush	1	2	3	1
3	Saint Joe	32	3	35	12
18	Scott	7	0	7	3
14	Shelby	4	2	6	3
	Spencer	2	0	2	0
	Starke	3	0	3	2
4	Steuben	8	1	9	1
8	Sullivan	3	1	4	0
15	Switzerland	2	1	2	1
5	Tippecanoe	19	5	24	8
	Tipton	2	0	2	0
	Union	2	0	2	0
	Vanderburgh	19	9	28	16
	Vermillion	3	0	3	0
	Vigo	17	3	20	5
	Wabash	4	0	4	1
	Warren	1	0	1	0
	Warrick	6	0	6	0
	Washington	3	1	4	0
	Wayne	8	0	8	2
	Wells	3	0	3	1
	White	2	1	3	1
	Whitley	2	0	2	0
	TOTAL	910	86	995	260

^{*}The above figures are based on a moment in time and are not to be interpreted as complete and accurate as they are based on the best information at that time. They are representative only for making some management decisions as they are moving and adjusting figures.